EUROPEAN JOURNAL OF BIOMEDICAL AND PHARMACEUTICAL SCIENCES
http://www.ejbps.com

ISSN 2349-8870
Volume: 2
Issue: 6
220-225
Year: 2015

PHARMACOGNOSTICAL EVALUATION OF LEAF OF NIII (*INDIGOFERA TINCTORIA* L.)

[1]Nitin Kumar Mishra, [2]Alok Kumar, [3]Ravindra Singh and *[4]Manoj Tripathi

[1,3]Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya, Chitrakoot, Dist. Satna (M.P.)
[4]Arogyadham (J.R.D. Tata Foundation for Research in Ayurveda & Yoga Sciences), Deendayal Research Institute, Chitrakoot, Dist Satna (M.P.)- 485 334

***Author for Correspondence:** Dr. Manoj Tripathi
Arogyadham (J.R.D. Tata Foundation for Research in Ayurveda & Yoga Sciences), Deendayal Research Institute, Chitrakoot, Dist Satna (M.P.)- 485 334

Article Received on 08/09/2015 Article Revised on 28/09/2015 Article Accepted on 18/10/2015

ABSTRACT
Nili (*Indigofera tinctoria* L.) is a shrub belonging to family Fabaceae and its root used in various indigenous systems of medicine against several diseases such as arthritis, fever, cough and cold, intestinal worms, stomach disorder and spleen disease. It is also used in the preparation of several Ayurvedic formulations such as Nilibhringadi Taila, Mahapancagavya Ghrta, Arvindasava and Triphaladi. The present paper provides a detailed account of the pharmacognostical evaluation of *Indigofera tinctoria* L. leaf. The study includes macro and microscopic characters, powder characteristics, HPTLC fingerprinting, preliminary phytochemical screening, physicochemical parameters. Leaf physicochemical parameters average value of loss on drying at 105^0C 6. %, water soluble extractive value14.50%, alcohol soluble extractive value 11.30%, total ash value 6.60%, acid insoluble ash value1.12%, phytochemicals mainly alkaloid, resin, tannin present in the leaf. The information generated by this particulars study provides relevant pharmacognostical and physicochemical data needed for proper identification and authentication of Nili leaf.

KEYWORDS: Pharmacognostic evaluation, HPTLC fingerprinting, Physico-chemical analysis, Preliminary phytochemical screening, Powder microscopy.

INTRODUCTION
Indigofera tinctoria L. (Family Fabaceae) is an erect, much branched shrub, 1.5 to 2 meter high, stem and branches slender, terete dark or purplish brown and covered with very fine apprised grey hairs. Leaves imparipinnate, 5-10 cm long; petioles 12-25 mm long; leaflets 7-13, elliptic or oblong, obtuse or retuse, pubescent beneath. Racemes axillary, sub sessile, 4-12 cm long, many flowered. Flower lilac red. Pods 2-4 cm long, turgid, straight or slightly curved, 8-10 seeded. It is found throughout and widely cultivated in many parts of the country. It was cultivated on a large scale in many parts of north India for extracting the dye indigo from its leaves.[1]

Indigofera tinctoria L. is commonly known as Nili, and very useful in various indigenous systems of medicine. Its root and leaves used against several diseases such as arthritis, fever, cough and cold, intestinal worms, stomach disorder, spleen disease, epilepsy and other nervous disorders, sores, old ulcers, wounds, piles, blennorrhagia, urinary complaints, hepatitis, bronchitis, dropsy, eye disease, hair growth, heart ailments, kidney and liver disorders whooping cough.[2-6] It is also used in preparation of several Ayurvedic formulations such as Nilibhrrigadi Taila (for external use only), Mahapancagavya Ghrta, Arvindasava and Triphaladi.[7]

Despite the numerous medicinal uses attributed to this plant, there are no pharmacognostical studies on the leaf of this plant have so far been carried out. Hence, the present work deals with the morphological, anatomical evaluation, physicochemical constants and preliminary pytochemical screening and HPTLC fingerprint profile of *Indigofera tinctoria* L. which could serve as a valuable source of information and provide suitable standards for the further identification of this plant.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Collection of specimens
The fresh plant leaf of *Nili was* collected from the Sati Anusuiya forest of, Chitrakoot of Satna district (M.P.) in the month of November. The voucher specimens were collected and placed in the herbarium of Department of Pharmacognosy, Ayurveda Sadan, Research Laboratory, Deendayal Research Institute Chitrakoot.

Fresh material was used for anatomical studies whereas shade dried material was powdered in electric grinder for physico-chemical, phytochemical and HPTLC studies.

Macroscopy
Macroscopic or organoleptic characters like appearance, colour, odour and taste were evaluated.

Microscopy
Fresh leaf section was cut by free hand sectioning and numerous sections examined microscopically. Photographs of the microscopical sections were captured with the help of Olympus trinocular research microscope CX- 21*l* with Digieye camera using Caliper plus version 4.2 software.[8-9]

Powder microscopy
The dried leaf was subjected to powdered and completely passes through 355 µm IS Sieve (old sieve number 44) and not less than 50% pass on through 180 µm IS Sieve (old sieve number 85). About 2 g of powder washed thoroughly with potable water, pour out the water without loss of material. Mounted a small portion in glycerin, warmed a few mg with chloral hydrate solution, wash and mounted in glycerin, treat a few mg with iodine solution and mount in glycerin and seen under microscope at 40 X 10X magnification of the trinocular research microscope.[10]

Physico-chemical parameters
Physico-chemical parameters such as moisture content (loss on drying at 105^0C), water soluble extractive value, alcohol soluble extractive value, total ash value, acid insoluble ash value and water soluble ash were calculated.[11]

Preliminary phytochemical studies
Preliminary tests were carried out on ethanolic and water extract for the presence\absence of phyto-constituents like alkaloids, flavanoids, tannins, resins, carbohydrates, proteins and saponins.[12]

High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography (HPTLC)
For HPTLC, the powdered leaf 2 gm of sample was extracted with 50 ml of ethanol overnight, filtered and concentrated. It was applied by spotting extracted sample on pre-coated silica-gel aluminium plate 60 F_{254} (5x10 cm with 0.2 mm layer thickness Merk Germany) using Camag.

inomat -5 sample applicator and a 100 µl Hamilton syringe. The samples, in the form of bands of length 6 mm, were spotted 15 mm from the bottom, 15 mm from left margin the plate and 10 mm part. Plates were developed using mobile phase consisting of *Toluene: Ethyl acetate (6:4* v\v). Linear ascending development was carried out in 10x10cm twin through glass chamber equilibrated with mobile phase. The optimized chamber saturation time for mobile phase was 30 min. at room temperature. The length of chromatogram run was 8 cm. 20 ml of the mobile phase. Subsequent to the development, TLC plates was dried with the help of Hot Air Oven. The peak area for samples and standard were recorded with Camera photo documentation system Camag Reprostar 3. Visualization of spot was made before and after derivatization (with 5% *methanolic-sulphuric* reagent) at 254nm, 366nm and day light with Win cat software and R_f values noted.[13-14]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Macroscopy
The leaf is pale-green to greenish -black in colour, no characteristic odour and taste. Leaf mostly compound, imparipinnate, leaflets, 1-5 cm long and 0.3-1.2 cm wide, oblong or oblanceolate with short mucronate tip (Fig.1&2).

Microscopy
Leaf transfer section shows a single layered upper epidermis covered with cuticle Lower and upper epidermis followed by single and 2 to 3 layers of collenchymatous hypodermis respectively, Unicellular hairs scanty to moderate with blunt tip. Parenchyma 2 to 3 layered present on both sides. Vascular bundle single, coleteral crescent-shaped, present centrally. (Fig.3- 5).

Powder microscopy
The powder colour is greenish black, not characteristics odour and taste. Under microscope examined powder showed sessile armed trichomes, simple pitted vessels, prismatic crystals of calcium oxalate, glandular trichomes, thick walled fibres, Pappilose epidermal cells with palisade cells, Lower epidermis in surface view with stomata and sessile armed trichome, Annular and spiral thickening and Upper epidermis in surface view, showing papillae and collapsed stomata(Plate-1).

Fig.1: Habitat

Fig. 2: Dried leaves



Fig. 3: T S leaf (diagrammatic)



Fig. 4: T S lamina



Fig. 5: T S leaf

Abbreviations: cc, collapsed cell; cu, cuticle; col, collenchymas; lepi, lower; epidermis; pal, palisade; ph, phloem; papepi, pappilose epidermis; per, pericycle; spar, spongy parenchyma; sec, secretory cell; tr, trichome; uepi, upper epidermis; xy, xylem.

Plate 1: Powder microscopic characteristics of Nili leaf



Physico-chemical analysis

The physico-chemical parameters such as extractive values are useful for the determination of exhausted or adulterated drug; ash values of the drug gave an idea of the earthy matter or the inorganic composition and other impurities present along with the drug. Physico-chemical results of the drug are given in (Table1).

Preliminary phytochemical studies

Qualitative phyto-constituents were screened in the extracts taken in water, and ethyl alcohol. The screening exhibited presence of saponin, alkaloids, tannin and resin.

HPTLC finger print profile

High performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) study of the ethanolic extract two spots of the sample extracts applied in the TLC plate. Major spots R_f values with colour were recorded under 366nm, after derivatization 366nm and UV light. Chromatogram profile and R_f values are given (Fig.6, 7, 8 & Table 2).



Fig. 6: 366nm



Fig 7: 366nm (after derivatization



Fig.8: Under UV (After derivatization)

Table 1- Physico-chemical analysis of the Nili leaf

Parameter	Values
Loss on drying	6%
Ethanol-soluble extractive	11.30%
Water- soluble extractive	14.50 %
Total ash	6.60%
Acid-insoluble ash	1.12%

Table 2- R_f Values in test solution of Nili leaf

R_f values	Leaf test solution of *Indigofera tinctoria*		
	366nm(before derivatization)	366nm (after derivatization)	UV light (after derivatization)
R_f1	0.10(red)	0.10(sky blue)	0.10(brown)
R_f2	0.55 red)	0.20 (fluroesence)	0.15(blue)
R_f3	0.60 (red)	0.65(brownish yellow)	0.25(brown)
R_f4	0.65 (red)	0. 70(red)	0.40(green)
R_f5	0.80(red)	0.85(red)	0.80(blue)

CONCLUSION

The pharmacognostic characters and phytochemical values reported in this work may play a major role in setting some diagnostic indices for identification and preparation of a monogrsaph of the plant, which might broaden its pharmacological, botanical and economical importance.

With the help of this referential information, a researcher can easily reject the fake and adulterated plant products which are deviated from the above mentioned characters and select the correct herbal specimen for further investigations.

ACKNOWLEDMENT

The authors are grateful to the Organizing Secretary Deendayal Research Institute, Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.) for providing necessary facilities.

REFERENCES

1. Verma DM, Balakrishnan NP and Dixit RD 1993 *Flora of Madhya Pradesh*. Volume I, Botanical Survey of India, Calcatta.
2. Ambasta S P 1986 *The Useful Plants of India*. National Institute of Science Communication and Information Resources, New Delhi.
3. Chopra RN, Chopra IC and Varma VS 1969 *Supplement to Glossary of Indian Medicinal Plants.* Publication and Information Directorate, New Delhi.
4. Harborne JB, 1984 *Phytochemical methods:,A Guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis.* 2nd Edn., Chapman and Hall, New York.
5. Kirtkar KR, and Basu BD 1935 *Indian Medicinal Plants*. Vols. 1-4 (2nd ed.), Bishen Singh Mahendra Pal Singh, Dehra Dun.
6. Jain SK 1991 *Dictionary of Indian Folk Medicine and Ethnobotany.* Deep Publications, New Delhi.
7. Anonymous, 1999. *The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India*. Part-I, Volume-II, Government of India, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Deptt. of ISM & H., The Controller of Publications Civil Lines, Delhi. Anonymous 2007 *Protocol for Testing of Ayurvedic, Siddha & Unani Medicines*. Government of India, Department of AYUSH, Ministry of Health & Family Welfare, Pharmacopoeial Laboratory for Indian Medicines, Ghaziabad.
8. Ansari MY, Wadud A, Ehteshamuddin and Bano H 2013 Pharmacognostical evaluation of root of Gumma (*Leucas cephalotes* Spreng.). *Indian J Nat prod Resour*., 2013; 4(1): 88-95.
9. Mukherjee PK 2002 *Quality Control of Herbal Drugs- an Approach to Evaluation of Botanicals,* 1st edn, Business Horizons, New Delhi.
10. Tripathi Manoj and Sikarwar, R.L.S Pharmacognostic Standardization of stem bark of *Erythrina variegate* L. Journal of the. Indian Botanical Society., 2014; 93(3&4): 248-253.
11. Rajput S, Tripathi MK, Tiwari AK, Dwivedi N & Tripathi SP 2012. Scientific evaluation of Panchkola curna- An Ayurvedic polyherbal drug formulation. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge., 2012;* 11(4): 697-703.
12. Tiwari Ashok, Tripathi Manoj and Dwivedi Neelesh Standardization of Ayurvedic compound formulation Kutajastaka Kvatha Curna, J. Trop. Med. Plants., 2015; (15): 1-13.
13. Tripathi Manoj and Sikarwar R.L.S. Pharmacognostic Studies on Plaksa (*Ficus virens* Ait.) Stem Bark Indian Journal of Natural Products and Resources., 2015; 6(1): 27-32.