



STUDY OF SENSORINEURAL HEARING LOSS IN PATIENTS OF HEAD AND NECK CANCERS AFTER RADIO-CHEMOTHERAPY

Dr. V. P. Singh¹, Dr. Nikunj Jain*² and Dr. Richa Gupta³

¹Associate Professor, LLRM, Medical College, Meerut.

²Senior Resident LLRM Medical College, Meerut.

³Consultant Dental Practitioner, Meerut.

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Nikunj Jain

Senior Resident LLRM Medical College, Meerut.

Article Received on 19/01/2019

Article Revised on 09/02/2019

Article Accepted on 01/03/2019

ABSTRACT

- **Introduction:** The multimodality approach in locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck has been found to reduce the risk of local failure and improves survival. Radiation treatment strategies have not been adopted to protect the inner ear. Radiation damage can thus occur from the pharyngo-tympanic tube to the brain stem auditory pathway and therefore may cause hearing loss.
- **Materials and methods:** A total of 100 Histopathologically proved Head and Neck cancer patients were selected, out of which 66 patients were subjected to radiotherapy and 34 patients were subjected to concomitant chemoradiation to study the occurrence of SNHL from oct2017 to jan2019.
- **Results:** The sensorineural hearing loss was more in higher frequencies. A paired sample t-test was conducted to compare the hearing losses before and 6, 12 months after therapy and were found to be significant ($p < 0.05$). It was found that hearing loss was persistent. The difference of proportions of hearing loss after RT and RT+CT ($p < 0.05$) after 1 month were found to be significant.
- **Conclusion:** As the radiation field descends down from nasopharynx effect on otological structures decreases. Both incidence and severity of hearing loss increased with time especially at high frequencies. Patients who received concomitant chemoradiation experienced greater sensorineural hearing loss compared with patients treated with radiotherapy alone.
- **KEYWORDS:** Sensorineural hearing loss, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, head and neck.

INTRODUCTION

Cancer has gained as an emerging major problem in India. 70% of the affected patients present with clinically advanced disease, either at the primary site or in the cervical lymph nodes.^[1] The cure of cancer, with preservation of structure, function and aesthetics, has become more evident with advances in modern radiation oncology, based on technologic gains in radiation physics and insights to radiation biology and pathophysiology.^[2]

Surgery and radiation, used alone or in combination are the only curative treatments. Approximately, 80-90% of patients with stage I or II head and neck cancer are cured with surgery or radiotherapy alone. A combination of chemotherapy and radiation therapy is used in patients with inoperable or unresectable [stage III and IV] disease in an attempt to increase the cure rates over radiation alone. The advantages of the treatment combination are the preservation of cosmesis and function as compared to radical surgeries.

Locoregional control is critical in management of head and neck malignancies as salvage of locoregional disease is often difficult. The multimodality approach in locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of head and neck has been found to reduce the risk of local failure and improves survival.

The head and neck is a fascinating area of the body with complex physiology and anatomy and where the basic function like vision, hearing, swallowing, smell depend on a symphony of parts working together. The goal of treatment in Head and Neck cancers is to maintain a strong emphasis on individual's quality of life. The ability to communicate is a crucial aspect of human life and the auditory sense is very important for communication of any kind. Hearing impairment constitutes an unseen misery and its problems are quiet deep and complex. In the current treatment of head and neck cancers especially squamous cell carcinoma strategies for organ preservation using radiation therapy for head and neck have been the main stay of treatment. In radiation

therapy for head and neck cancers, certain strategies have been developed to minimize the effects of radiation exposure to sensitive structures like CNS, optic chiasma and pituitary gland. Additionally, in radiation treatment of paranasal sinus carcinoma shielding is adopted to minimize radiation exposure to the eyes.

Although radiotherapeutic instruments and techniques have greatly improved in recent years, the temporal bone and the brain stem still cannot be protected from the radiation field. Also radiation treatment strategies have not been adopted to protect the inner ear. Radiation damage can thus occur from the pharyngotympanic tube to the brain stem auditory pathway and therefore may cause hearing loss. Whenever radiation therapy or chemotherapy is given, it is difficult to avoid side effects to the normal surrounding structures including otological structures especially in head and neck cancers. Hearing loss is still given little recognition and little value, and, for this reason, it is not always treated as a health abnormality. However, it is one of the biggest chronic problems among elderly people and patients of cancer after radiotherapy and chemotherapy.

AIM AND OBJECTIVES

To investigate the incidence and severity of sensorineural hearing loss in patients of head and neck cancers receiving radiotherapy and chemotherapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A total 100 patients were studied in the Department of ENT-HNS, LLRM, MEDICAL COLLEGE, MEERUT from OCTOBER 2017 to JAN2019. This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee.

Inclusion Criteria

- 1) Histopathologically confirmed cases of head and neck malignancies.
- 2) Patients of head and neck cancers receiving radiotherapy alone and or concurrent chemoradiation.
- 3) Cases with Karnofsky's score $\geq 80\%$.

Exclusion criteria

- 1) Cases having bilateral severe sensorineural hearing loss i.e. with bone conduction more than 60 dB.
- 2) Patients with retrocochlear pathology.
- 3) Karnofsky's score $< 80\%$.

Of the 100 patients, 66 were treated by definitive RT, whereas 34 received concurrent chemoradiation [RT+CT]. All patients were evaluated before treatment with baseline audiogram. After completion of the full course of RT alone or with concurrent chemoradiation, follow-up audiogram was performed after 1 month, 6 months and 1 year. Audiological evaluation was done using Arphi-700 Mk IV diagnostic- research audiometer calibrated to ANSI-69 specifications. Hearing loss was classified according to WHO as Normal < 15 dB, Slight: 16-25dB, mild: 26-40dB, Moderate: 41-55dB, mod

severe: 56- 70dB, severe: 71-90dB, Profound: > 91 dB. Hearing loss of more than 15 dB either in the speech frequency or in the high frequency or in both before and after therapy was considered significant.^[3-5] To rule out retrocochlear pathology, Short Increment Sensitivity Index test was done. Treatment schedule: Site specific treatment planning with a curative dose of 60-70 Gy units in 30-35 fractions with 1.8-2 Gy per day five fractions per week over 6-7 weeks. Concurrent cisplatin based weekly chemotherapy was administered in a dose of 30-35 mg/m² given over 2-3 hrs of infusion.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

At the end of 1 month following treatment with radiotherapy alone out of the 66 patients 45.45% developed significant hearing loss. Whereas in RT+CT group 67.64% of the 34 patients had significant hearing loss. The hearing loss was persistent. Statistical analysis was done using Z-test and it was found that there was significant difference in proportions of hearing loss due to RT and RT+CT ($p < 0.05$) after 1 month but no significant difference in proportions of hearing loss due to RT and RT+CT ($p > 0.05$) after 6 months and 12 months.

RESULTS

Of all the patients of Head and Neck malignancies attending the Department of Otorhinolaryngology and Head Neck Surgery; 100 cases who met the inclusion criteria were selected. Out of the 100 patients 66 (66%) received radiotherapy alone and 34 (34%) received concurrent chemoradiation with M:F of 2:1 in RT group and 1.8:1 in RT+CT group. Sociodemographic profile is given below in the Table 1 and Figure 1.

Among the 100 cases of Head and Neck malignancies, oral lesions contributed the largest group [38%] followed by laryngeal [21%] and hypopharyngeal cancers [12%]. Next in order were oropharyngeal, nasopharyngeal, nose and PNS tumors. Smallest group was of occult primary with secondaries in neck with 2% of cases. Details are given in Table 2.

At the end of 1 month following treatment with radiotherapy alone out of the 66 patients 45.45% developed significant SNHL. 51.51% of patients in RT group developed SNHL after 6 & 12 months. A detail of significant hearing loss in various malignancies is shown in Table 3. It was also noticed as shown in Figure 2 that higher frequencies were more affected than lower frequencies. However some patients had hearing loss in both speech and high frequencies. The hearing loss was persistent. The percentage of hearing loss was maximum in patients treated for nasopharyngeal malignancy. A paired sample t-test was conducted to compare the hearing losses before and 6 months after therapy. It was found that hearing loss before and 6 months after RT were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$). Also it is seen that as the radiation field descends down from nasopharynx, effect on otological structures decreases, which is

evident from the percentages of significant hearing loss with descending sites.




Figure 1: Distribution of patients according to their age and sex.

Table 1: Distribution of patients.

Sr.no.	Case distribution(n)	RT	RT + CT
1	N	66	34
2	M:F	2:1	1.8:1
3	Age range and Mean in years	21-79 [52 years]	18-70 [50 years]

Whereas in RT+CT group out of the 34 patients 67.64% had significant hearing loss after 1 month and 70.58% after 6 & 12 months. Higher frequencies were more affected than lower frequencies as shown in Figure 2. A detail of significant hearing loss in various malignancies is shown in table no.4. However some patients had significant hearing loss in both speech and high frequencies. The hearing loss was persistent. Hearing losses in the second group who received concurrent RT+CT were more as compared to those who received RT alone. Statistical analysis was done using Z-test and it was found that there was significant difference in proportions of hearing loss due to RT and RT+CT ($p < 0.05$) after 1 month but no significant difference in proportions of hearing loss due to RT and RT+CT ($p > 0.05$) after 6 months and 12 months. The distribution of significant hearing losses after RT and RT+CT after 1, 6 and 12 months is shown in Figure 3.

DISCUSSION

Largest group of cases [n= 66; 66%] received radiotherapy alone, ranged in the age from a minimum of 21 years to a maximum of 79 years. While number of cases [n=34; 34%] who received concurrent chemoradiation ranged from a minimum of 18 years to a

maximum of 70 years. As expected and as per concept, malignant disease process in Head and Neck is generally noted beyond 40 years of age and the same has been found to be true in approximately 78% of our patients. Male female ratio of 2:1 is seen in RT group whereas 1.8:1 in RT+CT group. A high male predominance has also been seen by Sankaranarayanan et al.^[6]

Maximum numbers of studies have been done on malignancy nasopharynx and parotid because otological structures are mainly involved in field of radiation of malignancies of these sites. We have also included laryngeal and hypopharyngeal malignancies to see for effects if any, on otological structures with descending sites involvement in Head and Neck malignancies. Today, only one thirds of affected patients present with early disease stage. Most of the oral cavity/pharynx patients present with locally advanced disease hence, combination of chemotherapy and radiation therapy is used in patients with inoperable or unresectable [stage III and IV] disease in an attempt to increase the cure rates over radiation alone. Hence, such cases who received concomitant chemoradiation were also included in the study to see for any synergistic effects if any.

Table 2: Site wise distribution of cases.


Sr.No.	SITE	N(%)	RT CASES				RT+CT Cases			
			Tot al	Right	Left	Medicine	Total	Right	Left	Medicine
1	Nasopharynx	9	7	3	1	3	2	-	-	2
2	Nose & PNS	7	5	2	3	-	2	-	2	-
3	Oral cavity	38	30	13	14	3	8	5	2	1
4	Oropharynx	11	-	-	-	-	11	1	7	3
5	Larynx	21	17	5	6	6	4	-	2	2
6	Hypopharynx	12	7	2	3	2	5	3	-	2
7	Occult primary with secondaries in neck.	2	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-
TOTAL		100	66	25	27	14	34	11	13	10

Table 3: AUDIOLOGICAL CHANGES AFTER RT.

Sr. no.	Site	No. (n=66)	1 month		6 Months		12 Months	
			speech frequency (sf)	High frequency (HF)	speech freq (sf)	High frequency (HF)	speech freq (sf)	High frequency (HF)
1	Nasopharynx	7	4(57.14%)	7 (100%)	6(85.71%)	7 (100%)	7(100%)	7 (100%)
2	Nose & PNS	5	4 (80%)	5 (100%)	4 (80%)	5 (100%)	4 (80%)	5 (100%)
3	Oral cavity	30	-	15(50%)	-	17(56.6%)	-	17(56.6%)
4	Oropharynx	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5	Larynx	17	-	1(58.8%)	-	7(41.17%)	-	3(17.64%)
6	Hypopharynx	7	-	2(28.5%)	1(14.28%)	2(28.5%)	1(14.28%)	2(28.5%)
7	Occult primary with secondaries in neck	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total		66	8(12.12%)	30(45.45%)	11(16.66%)	34(51.51%)	12(18.18%)	34(51.51%)
Total number of patients having significant hearing loss (Sf+Hf)			30(45.45%)		34(51.51%)		34(51.51%)	

Table 4: AUDIOLOGICAL CHANGES AFTER RT+CT.

Sr. no.	Site	No. (n=66)	1 month		6 Months		12 Months	
			speech frequency (sf)	High frequency (HF)	speech freq (sf)	High frequency (HF)	speech freq (sf)	High frequency (HF)
1	Nasopharynx	2	2(100%)	1(50%)	2(100%)	1(50%)	2(100%)	1(50%)
2	Nose & PNS	2	1(50%)	2(100%)	1(50%)	2(100%)	1(50%)	2(100%)
3	Oral cavity	8	-	6(75%)	-	6(75%)	-	6(75%)
4	Oropharynx	11	-	8(72.7%)	1(9.09%)	8(72.7%)	1 (9.09%)	8(72.7%)
5	Larynx	4	-	-	-	1(25%)	-	1(25%)
6	Hypopharynx	5	-	3(60%)	-	3(60%)	-	3(60%)
7	Occult primary with secondaries in neck	2	-	2(100%)	-	2(100%)	1(50%)	2(100%)
Total		34	3(88.23%)	22(64.70%)	4(11.76%)	23(67.64%)	5(14.70%)	23(67.64%)
Total no. of patients having significant hearing loss (Sf+Hf)		nts ant	23(67.64%)		24(70.58%)			

**FIGURE 2 -AUDIOLOGICAL CHANGES IN SPEECH FREQUENCY(SF), HIGH FREQUENCY(SF) AFTER RT & CT+RT.**




FIGURE 3: SIGNIFICANT HEARING LOSSES AFTER RT AND RT+CT.

In our study we found that out of the 66 cases after 1 month, significant hearing loss were seen in 8 [12.12%] cases in speech frequencies [500 Hz, 1 kHz and 2 kHz] whereas 30[45.45%] cases showed significant changes in higher frequencies. The observation that higher frequency hearing was generally more affected than lower frequency hearing is consistent with findings from other studies.⁷⁻¹⁰ Although the mechanism of SNHL has not been entirely elucidated, there is evidence of hair cell loss on Organ of Corti.^[11] Both the incidence and severity increased with time, especially at high frequencies which was also reported in other studies.^[4,12] Lau *et al.*,^[13] in their study reported that persistent impairment of auditory brain stem evoked response was detected immediately after completion of radiotherapy. The waves I-III and I-V interpeak latency intervals were significantly prolonged one year after radiotherapy.^[13] The reported incidence of post RT sensorineural hearing loss in our study is 45.45% at 1 months and increased to 51.51% after 6 and 12 months. The significant variation in SNHL after RT in different studies may be attributed to factors including the study design, patient selection, total dose, fraction, size, length of follow up and variation in evaluation and interpretation.^[14]

The onset of hearing deterioration may begin as early as 3 months after completion of radiotherapy.^[15] Some reported that patients developed SNHL either immediately or upto 48 months after RT [mean 4 months] which usually progressed to severe SNHL and plateaued within 2 years of treatment.^[3,16,17]

Significantly higher incidence of sensorineural hearing loss was for the development of post irradiation cochlear damage and appeared to be at least 12 month.^[16] Deterioration of median hearing thresholds, occurred in the immediate post-treatment period.^[18] In the present study we observed that hearing loss can be noticed by 1 month following treatment.

In the second group where patients were treated with concurrent chemoradiation the incidence of significant hearing loss was 67.64% after 1 month and increased to 70.58% after 6 and 12 months. In the present study it was found that patients who received concomitant chemoradiation experienced greater sensorineural

hearing loss compared with patients treated with radiotherapy alone and the results are statistically significant. Similar results were reported by Low WK, Bhandare & Schell *et al.*^[4,14,18] In a prospective study on 32 patients with NPC who were treated with concurrent chemoradiation the incidence of sensorineural hearing loss was found to be similar to the incidence of patients treated with radiotherapy alone.^[11] Two other studies on patients with NPC treated with chemoradiotherapy also showed that CDDP did not have an additional adverse effect on sensorineural hearing.^[3,19]

Walker *et al.*^[20] suggested that postirradiation hyperemia could be the cause of increased sensitivity of cochlear to CDDP damage. Mencher *et al.*,^[21] proposed that due to radiation providing a predisposition to damage, synergistic ototoxic effect results whereas Miettinen *et al.*,^[22] proposed that radiation caused changes in permeability of the inner ear leading to enhanced effect of CDDP in inner tissues. In our present study, CDDP was administered during [concomitant chemotherapy] radiotherapy, and we found that enhanced ototoxicity occurred. Liberman reported higher prevalence of hearing loss after radiochemotherapy owing to the patients being more susceptible to hearing loss or having weaker health or more aggressive cancer, which might influence the results.^[23]

CONCLUSION

1. As the radiation field descends down from nasopharynx effect on otological structures decreases.
2. Both the incidence and severity of hearing loss increases with time especially at high frequencies.
3. Hearing loss can be evident after 1 month of therapy and is persistent.
4. Patients who received concomitant chemoradiation experienced greater sensorineural hearing loss compared with patients treated with radiotherapy alone.
5. Hearing losses are predominately of sensorineural type and mild.
6. Concern for the quality of life of patients undergoing cancer treatment is necessarily growing, and determination of hearing loss should be a part of investigations to enable better rehabilitation.

REFERENCES

1. Dinshaw KA, Shastri SS, Patil SS. Cancer control programme in India: challenges for the new millennium. *Health Administrator*, 2002; XVII(1): 10-13.
2. Rubin P. *Clinical oncology: A multidisciplinary approach for physicians and students*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia. WB Saunders, 2001.
3. Kwong DL, Wei WI, Sham JS, et al. Sensorineural hearing loss in patients treated for nasopharyngeal carcinoma: a prospective study of the effect of radiation and cisplatin treatment. *International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics*, 1996; 36(2): 281–289.
4. Li JJ, Guo YK, Tang QL, et al. Prospective study of sensorineural hearing loss following radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *The Journal of laryngology and otology*, 2010; 124(1): 32–36.
5. Petsuksiri J, Sermsree A, Thephamongkhon K, et al. Sensorineural hearing loss after concurrent chemoradiotherapy in nasopharyngeal cancer patients. *Radiat Oncol*, 2011; 6: 19.
6. Sankaranarayanan R, Masuyer E, Swaminathan R, et al. Head and neck cancer: a global perspective on epidemiology and prognosis. *Anticancer Res.*, 1998; 18(6B): 4779–4786.
7. Anteunis LJ, Wanders SL, Hendriks JJ, et al. A prospective longitudinal study on radiation-induced hearing loss. *Am J Surg.*, 1994; 168(5): 408–411.
8. Low WK, Toh ST, Wee J, et al. Sensorineural hearing loss after radiotherapy and chemoradiotherapy: a single, blinded, randomized study. *J Clin Oncol.*, 2006; 24(12): 1904–1909.
9. Kirkbride P, Plowman PN. Platinum chemotherapy, radiotherapy and the inner ear: implications for “standard” radiation portals. *Br J Radiol.*, 1989; 62(737): 457–462.
10. Raaijmakers E, Engelen AM. Is sensorineural hearing loss a possible side effect of nasopharyngeal and parotid irradiation? A systematic review of the literature. *Radiother Oncol.*, 2002; 65(1): 1–7.
11. Oh YT, Kim CH, Choi JH, et al. Sensory neural hearing loss after concurrent cisplatin and radiation therapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Radiother Oncol.*, 2004; 72(1): 79–82.
12. Ho WK, Wei WI, Kwong DL, et al. Long-term sensorineural hearing deficit following radiotherapy in patients suffering from nasopharyngeal carcinoma: A prospective study. *Head Neck.*, 1999; 21(6): 547–553.
13. Lau SK, Wei WI, Sham JS, et al. Early changes of auditory brain stem evoked response after radiotherapy for nasopharyngeal carcinoma--a prospective study. *J Laryngol Otol.*, 1992; 106(10): 887–892.
14. Bhandare N, Antonelli PJ, Morris CG, et al. Ototoxicity after radiotherapy for head and neck tumors. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.*, 2007; 67(2): 469–479.
15. Wang LF, Kuo WR, Ho KY, et al. A long-term study on hearing status in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma after radiotherapy. *Otol Neurotol.*, 2004; 25(2): 168–173.
16. Grau C, Moller K, Overgaard M, et al. Sensorineural hearing loss in patients treated with irradiation for nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.*, 1991; 21(3): 723–728.
17. Schot LJ, Hilgers FJ, Keus RB, et al. Late effects of radiotherapy on hearing. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol*, 1992; 249(6): 305–308.
18. Schell MJ, McHaney VA, Green AA, et al. Hearing loss in children and young adults receiving cisplatin with or without prior cranial irradiation. *J Clin Oncol.*, 1989; 7(6): 754–760.
19. Wang LF, Kuo WR, Ho KY, et al. Hearing loss in patients with nasopharyngeal carcinoma after chemotherapy and radiation. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci.*, 2003; 19(4): 163–169.
20. Walker DA, Pillow J, Waters KD, et al. Enhanced cisplatin ototoxicity in children with brain tumours who have received simultaneous or prior cranial irradiation. *Med Pediatr Oncol.*, 1989; 17(1): 48–52.
21. Mencher GT, Novotny G, Mencher L, et al. Ototoxicity and irradiation: additional etiologies of hearing loss in adults. *J Am Acad Audiol*, 1995; 6(5): 351–357.
22. Miettinen S, Laurikainen E, Johansson R, et al. Radiotherapy enhanced ototoxicity of cisplatin in children. *Acta Otolaryngol Suppl.*, 1997; 529: 90–94.
23. Liberman PH, Schultz C, Gomez MV, et al. Auditory effects after organ preservation protocol for laryngeal/hypopharyngeal carcinomas. *Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.*, 2004; 130(11): 1265–1268.